

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	vi
Executive Report	xi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Laboratory Effectiveness	12
Chapter 3. Line Management Authority over Human Resource Management	19
Chapter 4. An Integrated Approach to Classification, Compensation and Performance Management	
Simplified Classification	22
Compensation and Broadbanding	26
Performance Management	42
Workforce Diversity and Adverse Actions	54
Chapter 5. Recruitment and Development	56
Chapter 6. Modified Reduction in Force	71
Bibliography	76
 <i>Appendix A</i>	
Table A-1 Number of Employees and Union Members by Lab	A-1
Figure A-1 Support for First Navy Broadbanding Project: 1979-1998	A-2
Figure A-2 Support for DOD S&T Demonstration Project	A-3
Figure A-3 Support for DOD S&T Demonstration Project: Supervisors vs. Non-Supervisors 2001	A-4
 <i>Appendix B</i>	
Evaluation Methodology	B-1
Figure B-1 Evaluation Context Model	B-2
Figure B-2 Model of R&D Performance	B-3
Figure B-3 Intervention Impact Model – part	B-4
Table B-1 Workforce Demographics of Employees in S&T Demo	B-5
Table B-2: Survey Response Rates and Error Margins 1996 – 2001	B-6
Table B-3: Survey Respondents vs. Actual Workforce by Occupational Group	B-7
Table B-4: Survey Respondents vs. Actual Workforce by Race	B-8
Table B-5: Survey Respondents vs. Actual Workforce by Demographics	B-9
Table B-6 Survey Confidence Intervals and Error Margins	B-12
Table B-7 Intervention Impact Model	B-16
Table B-8 Survey Scales and Reliabilities	B-23
Table B-9 Site Visit Agenda & Topics of Discussion	B-29
Table B-10 Focus Group Questions for Lab Demo Site Visits Summer 2001	B-30

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Appendix C</i>	
Table C-1 Strategic Orientation of S&T Labs	C-1
Table C-2 Motivation to Perform	C-1
Table C-3 Job Challenge	C-2
Table C-4 Job Satisfaction	C-2
Table C-5 Workforce Quality – Education Levels of Scientists/Engineers	C-3
Table C-6 Satisfaction with Supervision	C-3
Table C-7 Communication	C-3
Table C-8 HR Efficiency	C-4
Table C-9 Satisfaction with HR Services by Location	C-4
Table C-10 Cross-Functional Coordination	C-4
Table C-11 Teamwork	C-4
Table C-12 Number of Annual Patents By Year for 1996 through 2000	C-5
Table C-13 Annual Patent Income By Year for 1996 through 2000	C-6
Table C-14 Number of Publications By Year for 1996 through 2000	C-7
Table C-15 Customer Orientation	C-8
Table C-16 Annual Society Memberships By Year for 1996 through 2001	C-9
Table C-17 Impact of Demonstration Project on Organizational Performance	C-10
Table C-18 Customer Satisfaction Results by Year: 1990 Through 2000	C-11
<i>Appendix D</i>	
Tables D-1 Training Adequacy in Administering Demonstration	D-1
Table D-2 Managerial Authority: Percent Agreement	D-2
Table D-3 Trust	D-3
Table D-4 Trust by Supervisory Status	D-3
Table D-5 Trust by Majority and Minority Employees	D-4
Table D-6 Perceived Fairness of Performance Ratings	D-4
Table D-7 Perceived Fairness of Performance Ratings by Minority Status	D-5
<i>Appendix E</i>	
Table E-1 Classification Timeliness	E-1
Table E-2 Perceived Classification Timeliness (Supervisors vs. Non-supervisors)	E-2
Table E-3 Position Description Length	E-4
Table E-4 Classification Delegation	E-5
Table E-5 Classification System Flexibility	E-5
Table E-6 Ease of Employee Reassignment	E-6
Table E-7 Satisfaction with Classification Procedures	E-6
Table E-8 Pay System Training	E-7
Table E-9 Ease of Job Classification	E-7
Table E-10 Pay Potential	E-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<i>Appendix F</i>	
Table F-1 Conversion Costs (Buy-in)	F-1
Table F-2 Starting Base Salaries by Wave, Year, and Occupational Category	F-2
Table F-3 Pay Pool Funding Levels	F-3
Table F-4 Mean Basic Pay by Lab and Occupational Group: 1996	F-4
Table F-5 Mean Basic Pay by Lab and Occupational Group: 1997	F-5
Table F-6 Mean Basic Pay by Lab and Occupational Group: 1998	F-6
Table F-7 Mean Basic Pay by Lab and Occupational Group: 1999	F-7
Table F-8 Mean Basic Pay by Lab and Occupational Group: 2000	F-8
Table F-9 Satisfaction with Advancement	F-9
Table F-10 Dual Career Ladder Perceptions	F-9
Table F-11 Procedural Justice and Pay Satisfaction	F-9
Table F-12 Multiple Regression Summary –Salary Progression of Scientists and Engineers from 1996/1997 to 2000 in Wave 1 Labs	F-10
Table F-12-A Descriptive Statistics for Multiple Regression Summary – Scientists and Engineers	F-11
Table F-13 Multiple Regression Summary - Salary Progression of Administrative Employees 1996/1997 to 2000 in Wave 1 Labs	F-11
Table F-13A Descriptive Statistics for Multiple Regression Summary – Salary Progression of Administrative Employees	F-12
Table F-14 Multiple Regression Summary - Salary Progression of Technicians 1996/1997 to 2000 in Wave 1 Labs	F-12
Table F-14A Descriptive Statistics for Multiple Regression Summary – Salary Progression of Technicians	F-13
Table F-15 Multiple Regression Summary - Salary Progression of Clerical Employees 1996/1997 to 2000 in Wave 1 Labs	F-13
Table F-15A Descriptive Statistics for Multiple Regression Summary – Salary Progression of Clerical Employees	F-14
Table F-16 Percentage of Employees in High-Grades/Bands by Wave and Year	F-15
Table F-17 Number of SSTMs by Lab	F-15
Table F-18 Average Pay of Supervisors and Non-Supervisors by Band and Year: Scientists and Engineers	F-16
Table F-19 Average Pay of Supervisors and Non-Supervisors by Band and Year: Administrative Employees	F-18
Table F-20 Satisfaction with Supervisory Differential by Lab and Year	F-20
Table F-21 Internal and External Pay Equity	F-20
Table F-22 Bonus Ranges by Lab from 1996 to 2000	F-21
Table F-23 Mean Bonus Amounts by Lab	F-24
Table F-24 Percentage of Employees Receiving Bonuses by Lab	F-27
Table F-25 Mean Organizational Commitment by Lab and Occupational Group	F-29
Table F-26 Regression Results Predicting Organizational Commitment	F-29
Table F-27 Turnover of Permanent Employees by PATCO Across Time	F-30
Table F-28 Starturn Separations by Lab	F-34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table F-29 Highest Rated Starturn Employees Who Subsequently Separated	F-35
Table F-30 Summary Statistics of Those Rated 9 or 10 in Starturn	F-37
 <i>Appendix G</i>	
Table G-1 Lawler's Criteria for Effective Pay-for-Performance Systems	G-1
Table G-2 Rating Distributions by Lab, 1996 – 2000	G-2
Table G-3 to G-11 Survey Responses Related to Performance Management	G-11
Table G-12 Turnover by Performance Rating by Lab	G-22
 <i>Appendix H</i>	
Starturn: A Methodology for Measuring Losses Among the Most Mission Critical Employees	H-1
Startun Rating Form	H-2
 <i>Appendix I</i>	
Table I-1 Regression Results Predicting Support for the Demo	I-1
Table I-2 Intercorrelations of Survey Scales and Key Items for Wave 1 and 2 Labs	I-2
Table I-3 Mean 2001 Survey Scale Rankings for Wave 1 and 2 Labs	I-5
Table I-4 ANOVA Results for Performance Management Scales	I-7
Table I-5 ANOVA Results for Human Resources Scales	I-8
Table I-6 ANOVA Results for Climate Scales	I-9
Table I-7 ANOVA Results for Job Satisfaction/Commitment Scales	I-10
Table I-8 ANOVA Results for Macro Scales	I-11
 <i>Appendix J</i>	
Tables J-1, J-2 Perceptions of Diversity	J-1
Tables J-3, J-4 Perceptions of Adverse Actions	J-5
Table J-5 Number of Adverse Actions: Lab by Year	J-7
Table J-6 Number of Grievances: Lab by Year	J-8
Table J-7 Number of Unfair Labor Practices: Lab by Year	J-9
Table J-8 Veterans Hired in Labs with Categorical Ratings vs. CPDF Group	J-10
 <i>Appendix K</i>	
Table K-1 to K-6 Wave 1 Quality of New Scientists & Engineers by Lab	K-1
Table K-7 Hiring Timeliness Reported in Days	K-8
Table K-8 Perceived Hiring Timeliness for Lab-Based Examining	K-9
Table K-9 Perceived Satisfaction with Hiring Process for Lab-Based Examining	K-11
Tables K-10, K-11 2001 Perceptions of Categorical Rating for Wave 1 Labs	K-13
Table K-12 Hiring Activity by Year 1996-2000	K-14
Table K-13 Wave 1 Term Appointments as Percentage of New Hires	K-15
Table K-14 to K-19 2001 Perceptions of Extended Probationary Periods	K-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table K-20 Distinguished Scholastic Achievement Appointments by Lab	K-19
Table K-21 Volunteer Scientist Emeritus Corps Participants	K-20
Table K-22 to K-38 Perceptions of Staffing & Recruitment	K-21
Table K-39 Proportion of New Hire Offers Accepted	K-30
 <i>Appendix L</i>	
Table L-1 Training and Development Interventions by Lab	L-1
Tables L-2, L-3 Perceptions of Training & Development	L-2
Table L-4 Critical Skills Training Personnel Data Results	L-3
Table L-5 Perceptions of Critical Skills Training	L-4
Table L-6 Perceptions of Sabbaticals for Wave 1 Labs: Professionals	L-5
Table L-7 Sabbaticals Reported in Personnel Data	L-6
 <i>Appendix M</i>	
Table M-1 RIF Separations	M-1
Table M-2 Voluntary Retirement Separations	M-1
Table M-3 Retirement – in lieu of involuntary action	M-2
Table M-4 Resignation – in lieu of involuntary action	M-2
Table M-5 to M-7 RIF Perceptions	M-3